

Purging the minds of academic creatures in “liberal” institutions of pre-established misconceptions about the Middle East

Kiss the ISIS Goodbye: From Tehran To Damascus

Afshin Azad and Yusof Ruyanfar

We must hold tight to Western human rights. Even if it means standing against the West itself. Because Islamic “human rights” (or any other similar fake version of actual human rights) offers no protection for any human’s life. Muslims have never shown mercy to one another, do not now, and never will. Assad’s Muslim soldiers had no mercy for Sunni Islamist fighters, and Sunni Islamists showed no mercy for Assad’s Muslim soldiers. Every ideology, religion, and culture know how to follow the formula of: “The bastard deserved what happened to him”. But only Western human rights declare that, regardless of how much of a bastard someone is, their human rights as a prisoner or detainee must be respected.

And we must hold tight to Western human rights even against the West itself, because the Westerners might one day shake hands with a prominent figure from al-Qaeda who has recently come to power. They may rush to recognize him, fly to his headquarters, and take photographs with him. Even though his “victory” wasn’t even a real battle—just an easy, pre-prepared conquest.

We must not forget that we have known al-Julani for a very long time. We’ve known him since when he used to shave his mustache and hang out with the ISIS. We must hold tight to Western human rights, demand accountability, ask questions, and never forget the truth, exactly because the Westerners may now rush to shake hands with al-Julani and laugh with him in photographs. But we must not forget who al-Julani really is, while we hold on to Western human rights against Westerners.

Few things are as satisfying as kicking the Shia ISIS out of Syria so hard they can’t even look back. Few things are as satisfying as the fall of Assad even when Khamenei spend over \$100 billion USD of our stolen money and killed over 500,000 people to keep him in power. The humiliation endured by the “Pharaoh of the Palestine Square” is so grand it’s almost mythological. But we cannot let the momentary sense of bliss blind us to the truth. Under no circumstances can we afford to abandon the truth.

Note: The original version of this article was published among an Iranian audience. The following might provide useful context.

1-“Shia ISIS” is a term used to refer to the Islamic Republic in Iran, especially when referring to its crimes against humanity committed in Syria, Iraq and Lebanon. Many Iranians also use the term “جاعش” (read: Jaa-eesh) which is the combination of the words “Islamic Republic” and “Daesh (ISIS)”

2-“Pharaoh of the Palestine Square” refers to Khamenei and by extension the Islamic Republic. “Palestine Square” is a square in Tehran, where the Islamic Republic held a celebration of the October 7 massacre on the same day of the attack. The square is known for government demonstrations related to the Axis of Resistance and the regime’s acts of genocide in Syria to keep Bashar al-Assad in power.

Trump and Silicon Valley Fascists

Afshin Azad

Calling Trump a fascist is giving him too much credit. It implies he has a specific ideology and a calculated project, when in reality, he has no concept of such things. If you told him, “*We can create a powerful America with communism,*” he’d say, “*Then communism sounds great!*” The only thing that matters to Trump is being the center of the world himself, and then making America the center of the world. Everything else is secondary.

But the Silicon Valley capitalists who support him do have both ideologies and projects. For them, being in the spotlight is appealing but not the main goal. Their support for Trump isn’t because the Democrats are anti-capitalist, anti-AI, or anti-powerful CEOs. Republicans have shown the same anti-ness tendencies, especially with their conspiracy theories against vaccines and such.

The Silicon Valley capitalists supported Trump because the government didn’t let them into the game. They felt that no matter how rich they were, no matter how many engineering geniuses worked under them, they still couldn’t align the government with their agenda. It’s not because certain individuals in government were blocking them, but because the existing structure of democracy itself prevents such alignment. The democratic framework of America makes it impossible for the government to operate in tandem with the vision of billionaires like Elon Musk.

Here’s one example of how this vision works: The elites see China advancing in certain areas. They have the money, resources, and talent to develop similar advancements in the U.S. But bureaucratic mechanisms, much of which are tied to the will of the voters, stand in the way.

Thus, they’ve concluded that democracy is the obstacle standing in the way of their vision.

These Silicon Valley elites want America to be powerful, but they’re plagued by the arrogance that says, “*Only we know how to make America powerful. If we, the Silicon Valley elites, don’t know, then who does?*” **And this is how fascism takes root.**

Purging the minds of academic creatures in “liberal” institutions of pre-established misconceptions about the Middle East

Fake Countries and Colonial Projects

Afshin Azad and Yusof Ruyanfar

Among leftist academic creatures and Middle Eastern Muslims there's a recurring claim (one of many shared ideas between these two groups): Israel is a “fake nation-state”, or a “colonial project.” Yet, neither group ever clearly defines what they mean by “fake”, “project”, or what even makes a nation legitimate.

If recognition by the United Nations is the standard, Israel meets it, having been acknowledged at the critical moment in its history. If longevity is the measure, what is the minimum time required? How long must a people live under one flag and identity before their nation is considered legitimate? By that logic, the American nation, counting from its Civil War, has existed for just under 160 years. Should ancient nations like China or Iran view the United States as a “fake country” in comparison?

If a nation's authenticity depends on its ability to survive existential threats and endure stress, Israel has withstood far more pressure than most nations and remains intact. Meanwhile, Syria, with its 5,000 years of civilization, was almost torn apart in just eight years of civil war. Does that make Syria a fake country?

What about China? Isn't China a fake country because its government and people operate on the assumption that free information would destroy the unity of the state, and therefore, their state's survival means can't endure the stress and the threat of freedom?

If Malaysia faced relentless random rocket attacks, terrorist strikes in its streets, bus stations, and restaurants, how many of its young people would choose to stay and fight? Does Malaysia's supposed inability to endure this existential threat makes it a fake country? If the colonial origins of borders make a nation illegitimate or a “colonial project”, then is Iran a colonial project because its current borders are drawn by the British and Russians? If the Polish had been allowed to design their own borders, the map of Poland would look very different from today's. Does that mean Poland is a fake country or a colonial project too?

Both leftists and Muslims regard all political maps of the world as illegitimate because they believe in the idea of a universal nation. The left envisions a fantasy of a homogenized, borderless world, while Muslims imagine an ummah—a global Islamic community spanning the entire planet. They agree that everyone should unite under one flag, but they differ on what should happen under that flag. Except when it comes to the Jews.

The leftist academic creatures and Muslims believe that all countries are fake countries and colonial projects, even if they don't always say so out loud. But they are loud when it comes to Israel's supposed illegitimacy. This is because the Jews have been more vocal about rejecting the idea of “all humanity under one flag.” For thousands of years, this group has shown no intention or plan to abandon its unique identity and dissolve into the majority. And this stubbornness ruins the leftist and Muslim's fantasies.

The List

As the world's largest “state” sponsor of terrorism, the Islamic Republic in Iran funnels most of its annual budget into various organizations, institutions, foundations, committees, and associations to further spread propaganda, embezzle money, micro-manage every aspect of life through its totalitarian approach, and destroy the lives of Iranians—and, in the near future, the world. With the growing worldwide support for Islamic Republic-funded terrorist militant groups like Hamas, this future might be closer than you think.

Here's a short list of places where the Islamic Republic likes to spend its terror-earned money. You can read the complete list with previous entries on our website—Yusof Ruyanfar

You already know the drill, but if it's your first time reading The List, the answer is yes. Each of these are separate organizations with separate budgets (stolen from Iranians), perfect for money laundering and embezzlement. These are separate of government ministries and the military. This edition is longer than usual, but this isn't even half of the “Supreme Council” list.

- 1- Supreme Council of Atomic Energy (Persian: شورای عالی انرژی اتمی)
- 2- Supreme Council for the Development of Atomic Energy (Persian: شورای عالی توسعه انرژی اتمی)
- 3- Supreme Council of Health (Persian: شورای عالی بهداشت)
- 4- Supreme Council of Health (synonym of the same word, but both get a separate budget) (Persian: شورای عالی سلامت)
- 5- Supreme Executive Council of Health (Persian: شورای عالی اجرایی بهداشت)
- 6- Supreme Council of Welfare and Social Security (Persian: شورای عالی رفاه و تامین اجتماعی)
- 7- Supreme Council of Social Security (Persian: شورای عالی تامین اجتماعی)
- 8- Supreme Council of Intelligence and Tourism (Persian: شورای عالی اطلاعات و جهانگردی)
- 9- Supreme Council of Domestic and International Tourism (Persian: شورای عالی ایرانگردی و جهانگردی)
- 10- Supreme Council of Planning (Persian: شورای عالی برنامه ریزی)
- 11- Supreme Council of Informing (Persian: شورای عالی اطلاع رسانی)
- 12- Supreme Council of Soil (Persian: شورای عالی خاک)
- 13- Supreme Council of Steel (Persian: شورای عالی فولاد)
- 14- Supreme Council of Standards (Persian: شورای عالی استاندارد)
- 15- Supreme Council of Accidents (Persian: شورای عالی تصادفات)
- 16- Supreme Council of Insurance (Persian: شورای عالی بیمه)
- 17- Supreme Council of Registration (Persian: شورای عالی ثبت)
- 18- Supreme Council of Supervision and Inspection (Persian: شورای عالی نظارت و بازرسی)
- 19- Supreme Council of Mines (Persian: شورای عالی معادن)
- 20- Supreme Council of Quran (Persian: شورای عالی قرآن)
- 21- Supreme Council of Cyberspace (Under sanctions for violation of human rights) (Persian: شورای عالی فضای مجازی)
- 22- Supreme Council of Cyberspace Information Security (Persian: شورای عالی امنیت فضای تبادل اطلاعات)
- 23- Supreme Council of the National Organization for the Study and Compilation of Humanities Textbooks (Persian: شورای عالی سازمان ملی مطالعه و تدوین کتب علوم انسانی)
- 24- Supreme Council for Economic Alignment of the Heads of Governmental Branches (Persian: شورای عالی هماهنگی اقتصادی سران قوا)
- 25- Supreme Council of Producers (Persian: شورای عالی تهیه کنندگان)
- 26- Supreme Council of Islamic Seminaries (Persian: شورای عالی حوزه های علمی)
- 27- Supreme Council of Islamic Revolutionary Plans (Persian: شورای عالی طرح های انقلاب)
- 28- Supreme Council of [Islamic] Cultural Revolution (Persian: شورای عالی انقلاب فرهنگی)
- 29- Supreme Council of the Islamic Revolutionary Guard Corps (Persian: شورای عالی سپاه)
- 30- Supreme Council of National Security, Human Rights Headquarters (Persian: ستاد حقوق بشر شورای عالی امنیت ملی)